

**Original article:**

**A community based cross sectional study on morbidity pattern of elderly in Rani block, Kamrup (rural) district, Assam**

**<sup>1</sup>Dr. Annamika Hakmaosa, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Kanika K. Baruah, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Sudipta Hajong**

<sup>1</sup>Post graduate, Dept of Community Medicine, Gauhati Medical College, Guwahati, Kamrup, Assam, India.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Dept of Community Medicine, Gauhati Medical College, Guwahati, Kamrup, Assam, India.

<sup>3</sup>MBBS, MD(Med.)

Address for correspondence: Dr Annamika Hakmaosa , Post graduate, Dept of Community Medicine, Gauhati Medical College, Guwahati, Kamrup, Assam, India.

Date of submission: 08 May 2014; Date of Publication: 15 September 2014

---

**Abstract:**

**Background:** Ageing is a universal process. In the words of Seneca, “Old age is an incurable disease” but more recently Sir James Sterling Ross commented, “You do not heal old age, you protect it, you promote it and you extend it”. Old age is associated with deterioration of health and increase in morbidity. Assessment of the morbidity profile will help in the application of interventions, to improve the health status and the quality of life of the elderly. Our objectives were to study the morbidity pattern among the elderly residing in Rani block. 2. To study the morbidity load among elderly population. 3. To identify the various factors associated with morbidity amongst the study population.

**Materials and method:** 30 clusters were selected and 13 elderly from each cluster were taken to get the desired sample size. Therefore a total of 390 elderly were selected. House to house visit was done and data was collected by interviewer method, observation and clinical examination of the study population. Diagnosis of the disease was made on the basis of history, investigations, clinical examination and treatment report. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 17.

**Results:** Out of 390 elderly, 68.5% belonged to the age group of 60-69 years, majority (82.8%) were found to be Hindus, 89% lived in joint family. Most of the elderly were illiterate (69.5%) and majority (48.2%) belonged to Class IV socio economic status. Morbidity load was 1070. Average morbidity per person was 2.7 and average morbidity per morbid person was 2.8. Majority of the elderly (33.1%) had two morbidity. Arthritis was the most common morbidity and it was more common in females. The association of morbidity was found to be statistically significant with sex, socio economic status and financial dependence.

**Conclusion:** The prevalence of morbidity is very high (96.9%). Arthritis was the most common morbidity followed by anaemia. This calls for development of community based geriatric care in our country in line with maternal and child care.

**Key words:** elderly, morbidity

---

**Background:**

Ageing is a universal process. In the words of Seneca, “Old age is an incurable disease” but more recently Sir James Sterling Ross commented, “You do not heal old age, you protect it, you promote it and you extend it”. These are in fact the principles of Preventive Medicine (1). Old age is associated with deterioration of health and increase in morbidity. Increasing life expectancy raises the

question of whether longer life spans result in more years of life in good health, or whether it is associated with increased morbidity and more years spent in prolonged disability and dependency(2). Health care of the elderly has not received adequate attention from policy maker in developing countries, like India as they were pre-occupied with maternal and child health, communicable diseases, malnutrition, and increasing population. Assessm-

ent of the morbidity profile will help in the application of interventions, to improve the health status and the quality of life of the elderly.

**Objectives:**

1. To study the morbidity pattern among the elderly residing in Rani block. 2. To study the morbidity load among elderly population. 3. To identify the various factors associated with morbidity amongst the study population

**Materials and method :**

**1. Study design** - Community based cross-sectional study.

**2. Study area** - Rani block, Kamrup Rural (Assam)

**3. Study period** – One year; August 2013 to July 2014.

**4. Sample size** - Calculated to be around 257 using the formula,  $n=4pq/l^2$  on the basis of 28% prevalence rate of general morbidity in rural areas as per NSSO 2004 report (3) with 95% confidence interval and a relative error of 20% of p. Using cluster sampling from a total of 96 villages in Rani Block, 30 villages (cluster) will be selected using a design effect of 1.5 out of 96 villages in Rani Block, Kamrup Rural. Hence the sample size came up to be 386. To get the sample size of 386 minimum 13 elderly individual will be required from each village. Therefore total 390 subjects will be taken for study.

**5. SAMPLING DESIGN**

Cluster methodology was applied. The 96 villages (Census 2001) under the Rani Block were taken as the primary sampling unit in the study under cluster methodology. For the selection of clusters, a list of villages under the Block was obtained from the Census Office, Guwahati. Out of a total of 96 clusters (villages), 30 clusters were selected and 13 elderly from each cluster were taken to get the desired sample size. Therefore a total of 390 elderly were selected. House to house visit was

done till 13 elderly persons were found in each cluster. In each selected household, all the elderly meeting the inclusion criteria were included in the study. If there were more than one elderly in the household, then both are included. Information was verified with the care givers wherever necessary.

**6. Inclusion criteria**

a) Elderly persons aged 60 years and over, who are permanent residents (living for more than 6 months) of Rani Block.

b) Elderly persons who are willing to participate in the study.

**7. Exclusion criteria** – Seriously ill elderly who are not able to respond.

**8. Data collection tool** - A pre designed and pre-tested schedule along with anthropometric measurements, mercury sphygmomanometer, glucometer, haemoglobin estimation, stethoscope, standard measuring tape, weighing machine, Modified B.G Prasad scale (April 2013) for determination of Socio Economic Status, 5 point Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) for screening depression, Hindi version of the mini-mental state examination (HMMSE) was used to test cognitive impairment.

**9. Data collection technique** - House to house visit, Interviewer method, observation and clinical examination of the study population.

Ethical clearance was obtained before conducting the study from the Ethical Committee of Gauhati Medical College, Guwahati. During the survey, informed written consent was taken from the study subjects. Assurance was given that the confidentiality concerning their information will be maintained strictly.

**Statistical analysis**

Data collected was entered in Microsoft Office Excel and analyzed by using SPSS Version 17.0. Proportions were calculated for different study

variables. Chi-square test was used for analysis of categorical variables.

**Results:**

The demographic characteristics of the elderly is summarised in table 1. Out of 390 elderly 68.5% belonged to the age group of 60-69 years, 24.4% belonged to 70-79 years age group and 7.2% belonged to  $\geq 80$  years age group. 233(59.7%) of the respondents were females and 157(40.3%) of elderly were males. Majority (82.8%) were found to be Hindus, 9.2% were Muslims and 7.9% were Christians. Majority (89%) lived in joint family and only 11% lived in nuclear family. The study revealed that 53.6% elderly were living with their spouse and children, 38.5% were living with their children, 3.6% were living with their spouse, 3.1% were living alone and 1.3% were living with their relatives. Maximum elderly, 271(69.5%) were illiterate, 77(19.7%) studied upto primary level, 23(5.9%) studied till middle school, 15(3.8%) studied till high school, while 3(0.8%) were HSLC passed and only 1 (0.3%) was post-graduate.

**TABLE 1. Demographic characteristics of elderly**

AGE GROUP (YEARS)	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
60-69	267	68.5
70-79	95	24.4
>80	28	7.2
<b>SEX</b>		
MALE	157	40.3
FEMALE	233	59.7
<b>RELIGION</b>		
HINDU	323	82.8
MUSLIM	36	9.2
CHRISTIAN	31	7.9
<b>TYPE OF FAMILY</b>		
JOINT	347	89
NUCLEAR	43	11
<b>LIVING STATUS</b>		
SPOUSE AND CHILDREN	209	53.6

Majority of the elderly (48.2%) belonged to Class IV category, 160(41%) were from class III category, 31(7.9%) belonged to class II, while only 11(2.8%) belonged to class V category. Table 2 Shows the morbidity pattern of the elderly. The most common morbidity was arthritis 43%, followed by anaemia 39.5%, hypertension 33.6%, acid peptic disorder 25.9%, dementia 23.1%and refractive error 16.4%. Table 3 shows the morbidity load of the elderly. Morbidity load was 1070. Average morbidity per person was 2.7 and average morbidity per morbid person was 2.8. Majority of the elderly (33.1%) had two morbidity, 30.8% had more than 3 morbidity, 23.1% had one morbidity and only 3.1% had no morbidity. Table 4 shows the relationship of the morbidity with socio demographic variables. The association of morbidity was found to be statistically significant with sex, socio economic status and financial dependence and it was found to be statistically insignificant with age group, education and marital status

<b>CHILDREN</b>	150	38.5
<b>SPOUSE</b>	14	3.6
<b>ALONE</b>	12	3.1
<b>RELATIVES</b>	5	1.3
<b>EDUCATIONAL SATUS</b>		
<b>ILLITERATE</b>	271	69.5
<b>PRIMARY SCHOOL</b>	77	19.7
<b>MIDDLE SCHOOL</b>	23	5.9
<b>HIGH SCHOOL</b>	15	3.8
<b>HSLC PASSED</b>	3	0.8
<b>POST GRADUATE</b>	1	0.3
<b>SOCIO ECONOMIC STATUS</b>		
<b>CLASS II</b>	33	8.5
<b>CLASS III</b>	158	40.5
<b>CLASS IV</b>	188	48.2
<b>CLASS V</b>	11	2.8

**TABLE 2. Morbidity pattern**

<b>Morbidity</b>	<b>FEMALE (N=233)</b>	<b>MALE (N=157)</b>	<b>TOTAL (N=390)</b>
<b>Arthritis</b>	126 (54.1)	42 (26.8)	168 (43)
<b>Anaemia</b>	177 (76)	37 (23.6)	154 (39.5)
<b>Hypertension</b>	73 (31.3)	58 (36.9)	131 (33.6)
<b>Acid Peptic Disorder</b>	57 (24.5)	44 (28)	101 (25.9)
<b>Dementia</b>	53 (22.7)	37 (23.6)	90 (23.1)
<b>Refractive Error</b>	38 (16.3)	26 (16.6)	64 (16.4)
<b>Depression</b>	28 (12)	27 (17.2)	55 (14.1)
<b>Cataract</b>	30 (12.9)	20 (12.7)	50 (12.8)
<b>Hearing Impairment</b>	16 (6.9)	23 (14.6)	39 (10)
<b>Diabetes</b>	16 (6.9)	11(7)	27 (6.9)
<b>Dental Problem</b>	12 (5.2)	14 (8.9)	26 (6.7)
<b>Pterygium</b>	13 (5.6)	11(7)	24 (6.2)
<b>Constipation</b>	9 (3.9)	8 (5.1)	17 (4.4)
<b>Kyphosis</b>	9 (3.9)	4 (2.5)	13 (3.3)
<b>Hemiplegia</b>	7 (3)	3 (1.9)	10 (2.6)
<b>Others</b>	40 (17.2)	61 (38.9)	101 (25.9)

(Multiple response)

(Figures in parenthesis indicate percentages)

**Table 3. Morbidity load of elderly**

Number of morbidity	Frequency	Percent
0	12	3.1
1	90	23.1
2	129	33.1
3	39	10
>3	120	30.8
<b>Total</b>	390	100

**Table 4. Relationship of morbidity with socio demographic profile**

Gender	Morbidity		Total	P value
	Present	Absent		
<b>Male</b>	147 (93.6)	10 (6.4)	157 (100)	<b>0.002</b>
<b>Female</b>	231 (99.1)	2 (0.9)	233 (100)	
<b>Age group</b>				
<b>60-69 years</b>	255 (95.5)	12 (4.5)	267 (100)	0.058
<b>70-79 years</b>	95 (100)	0	95 (100)	
<b>&gt;80 years</b>	28 (100)	0	28 (100)	
<b>Education status</b>				
<b>Illiterate</b>	262 (96.7)	9 (3.3)	271 (100)	0.674
<b>Literate</b>	116 (95)	3(2.5)	119 (100)	
<b>Socio economic status</b>				
<b>Class II</b>	31 (93.9)	2 (6.1)	33 (100)	<b>0.029</b>
<b>Class III</b>	149 (94.3)	9 (5.7)	158 (100)	
<b>Class IV</b>	187 (99.5)	1(0.5)	188 (100)	
<b>Class V</b>	11 (100)	0	11 (100)	
<b>Marital status</b>				
<b>Married</b>	212 (95.5)	10(4.5)	222 (100)	0.118
<b>Unmarried</b>	13(92.9)	1 (7.1)	14 (100)	
<b>Widow</b>	110 (100)	0	110 (100)	
<b>Widower</b>	43 (97.7)	1(2.3)	44(100)	
<b>Financial dependence</b>				
<b>Fully dependent</b>	283	5	288	<b>0.010</b>
<b>Fully independent</b>	95	7	102	

### **Discussion :**

The present study showed that most of the elderly ( 68.5%) belonged to the age group of 60-69 years, 24.4% belonged to 70-79 years age group and only 7.2% belonged to  $\geq 80$  years age group which is similar to the findings by Madhukumar Suwarna et al (4) in their study conducted in Miraj, Maharashtra where 64.5% belonged to the age group of 60-69 years, 28.2% belonged to 70-79 years age group and 7.2% belonged to  $> 80$  years age group. Deepak Sharma et al (5) in their study conducted in Shimla found that in rural area 58.5% belonged to the age group of 60-69 years, 30 % belonged to 70-79 years age group and 11% belonged to  $> 80$  years age group. In the present study majority (59.7% ) of the elderly were females and 40.3% of elderly were males. Shraddha K et al (6) in their study conducted in Mysore, Karnataka found that 39.4% were males and 60.6% were females. Pooja Chauhan et al (7) in a study conducted in Venkatachalem village in Nellore district, AP found that 33.4% were males and 66.2% were females. The present study revealed that 69.5% were illiterate, 19.7% studied upto primary level, 5.9% studied till middle school, 13.8% studied till high school, 0.8% were HSLC passed and 0.3% was post-graduate. Anil Jacob Purty et al (8) in their study in a rural area of Tamil Nadu found that 78.7% of elderly were illiterate. Bayapareddy Narapureddy et al (9) in a study conducted in a rural area of Allahabad District, UP found that 70.1% of the elderly were illiterate. SH Parry et al (10) in their study conducted in Kashmir found that 67.8% of the elderly in rural area were illiterate. The present study revealed that the most common chronic morbidity was arthritis 43%, followed by anaemia 39.5%. R. Shankar et al (11) in a study conducted in a rural area of Varanasi district also reported that the most common morbidity was

arthritis (57.08%). Padda A.S et al (12) in their study conducted in Amritsar reported that arthritis (60.60%) was most commonest cause of illness. Rajesh. R. Kulkarni (13) in a study conducted at Ashok Nagar, Belgaum, Karnataka reported osteoarthritis (40%) was the most common morbidity followed by anaemia (30%). The present study revealed that majority of the elderly (33.1%) had two morbidity, 30.8% had more than 3 morbidity, 23.1% had one morbidity and only 3.1% had no morbidity. Morbidity load was 1070. Average morbidity per person was 2.7 and average morbidity per morbid person was 2.8. Nirankar Singh et al (14) in a study conducted in a rural area of Patiala found that 12.8% had no morbidity, 25.9% had one morbidity, 22.6% had two morbidity, 24.6% had 3 morbidity and 13.3% had more than three morbidity. Average morbidity per morbid person was found to be 2.3 and average morbidity per person was 2.01. JP Singh et al (15) in their study conducted at urban slum in Central India found that 400 morbid individuals suffered from 1268 illness and the average morbidity per morbid person was 3.17. Majority (74%) suffered from more than three morbidity. 32.5% had three morbidity, 23.5% had two morbidity and 1.25% had single morbidity. Table 4 shows the relation of morbidity with socio demographic profile. There was a statistical significant association of morbidity with sex, socioeconomic status and financial dependence but it was found to be statistically insignificant with age, education and marital status. Madhukumar Suwarna et al (2) also found statistical significant association of morbidity with sex, age group, education, marital status and socio economic status.

### **Conclusion :**

The present study revealed that the prevalence of morbidity is very high (96.9%). Arthritis was the

most common morbidity followed by anaemia and hypertension. Multiple morbidity is common among the elderly. The prevalence of morbidity is higher among females, those belonging to lower socio economic status and among those who are financially dependent on others. This calls for development of community based geriatric care in our country in line with maternal and child care.

**Reference:**

1. Park K. Park's Textbook of preventive and social medicine. 20<sup>th</sup> ed. Bansidas Bhanot Publishers, India.2009:512
2. World Population Ageing 2013. United nations Publication
3. National Sample Survey Organisation. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. Morbidity and Health Care and the condition of the Aged, NSS 60<sup>th</sup> round (January-June 2004). Government of India. 2006March
4. Madhukumar Suwarna and Naik Jayashree: An epidemiological study in elderly and its morbidity in urban slum population in Miraj district, Maharashtra International Journal of Public Health and Human Rights 2011;1: 1: 05-10
5. Deepak Sharma, Salig Ram Mazta, Anupam Parashar. Morbidity pattern and health seeking behaviour of aged population residing in Shimla Hilss of North India. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care 2013; 2: 2: 188-193
6. Shraddha K, Prashantha B, Prakash B. Study on morbidity pattern among elderly in an urban population of Mysore, Karnataka. International journal of medicine and biomedical research 2012; 1: 3: 215-223
7. Pooja Chauhan, V. Chandrashekar. A study on the morbidity pattern among the geriatric people of Venkatachalem village in Nellore district, AP. Journal of Health Sciences 2013; 1: 2: 48-53.
8. Anil Jacob Purty, Joy Bazroy. Morbidity pattern among the elderly population in the rural area of Tamil Nadu, India. Turk Journal of Medical Science. 2006; 36: 45-50.
9. Narapureddy B, Naveen KH, Madithati P, Singh RK, Pirabu RA. Sociodemographic profile and health care seeking behaviour of rural geriatric population of Allahabad district of UP: A Cross Sectional Study. International journal of medical science and Public Health 2012; 1: 2: 87-92.
10. Parray SH, Ahmed D, Ahmed M, Gaash .Morbidity Profile of Geriatric Population in Kashmir. Indian Journal for the Practising Doctor.2008; 4: 6
11. Shankar R, Tandon.J, Gambhir.I.S., Tripathi.C.B. Health status of elderly population in rural area of Varansi district. Indian Journal of Public Health 2007; 51 (1):56- 58
12. Padda AS, Mohan V.Singh. Health profile of aged persons in urban and rural field practice areas of Medical College, Amritsar. Indian Journal of Community Meidcine 1998; 23: 2: 72-76

**Acknowledgment:**

We are grateful to the elderly and their family members who participated in the study and extended their full cooperation. This study was supported by MD/MS Thesis Grant Program for Medical Students in North-East Region of India, DBT, Govt of India.

13. Rajesh. R. Kulkarni. Morbidity pattern among elderly in urban field practice area at Ashok Nagar, Belgaum, Karnataka: a community based cross-sectional study. International Journal of Life sciences Biotechnology and Pharma Research 2014; 3:1: 93-97
14. Nirankar Singh , Shailendra Kumar, Khursheed Muzammil , Santosh Kumar Raghav, Jai Veer Singh. Assessment of functional status in the elderly persons in the rural area of Punjab. Indian Journal of Community Health. 2014; 26 : 1: 25-29
15. Singh JP, Singh S, Kasturwar NB, Hassan A. Geriatric morbidity profile in an urban slum, Central India. Indian Journal Of Community Health 2013; 25: 2: 166-70.